

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/708,333	02/25/2004	Jung-Tang Huang	UTEP0007USA	2332	
27765 75	590 03/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			CULBERT, ROBERTS P		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ	•	1763			
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/06/2006		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	int(s)				
		10/708,333	HUANG, JUNG-TANG					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Roberts Culbert	1763					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THE SECOND ATE OF	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ilv 2004.						
<u> </u>	. ,	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠,	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).				
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Sta	ige				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	∕ed.					
Attachment	:(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152	2)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· acoust application (1 10-10)	- ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/708,333

Art Unit: 1763

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a heating device, classified in class 219, subclass 538.
- II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a patterning method for fabricating a microstructure, classified in class 216, subclass 52.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as forming a pattern using a single stamper instead of two stampers as recited in claim 10.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/708,333

Art Unit: 1763

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert Examiner Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh **Supervisory Patent Examiner** Art Unit 1763